

Exhibit X



FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
12/3/2021
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY: <i>JM</i> DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NETLIST INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
LTD.,

Defendant.

Case No. 8:20-cv-00993-MCS-ADS

VERDICT

VERDICT

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

QUESTION NO. 1:

Did Netlist prove that it suffered damages as a result of Samsung's failure to fulfill Netlist's orders for NAND and DRAM products in breach of Section 6.2 of the JDLA?

Yes No

If your answer to Question No. 1 is "Yes," then proceed to Question No. 2. If you answered "No," stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

QUESTION NO. 2:

What amount of damages did Netlist suffer as a result of Samsung's breach of Section 6.2 of the JDLA?

\$

Proceed to Question No. 3.

QUESTION NO. 3:

Did Samsung prove that Netlist failed to use reasonable efforts to reduce or avoid any of the damages included in your answer to Question No. 2?

Yes No

If your answer to Question No. 3 is "Yes," then proceed to Question No. 4. If you answered "No," stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

1 **QUESTION NO. 4:**

2 State the amount by which the damages you specified in your answer to Question
3 No. 2 should be reduced.

4 \$ _____

5 *Sign and date this verdict form.*

6
7 Dated: 12/3/21

Signed: REDACTED
8 Presiding Juror